

Rule 6 Annex F

The Virtual Site Inspection documents submitted by the Applicant were due under deadline 4 but all were published after deadline 4, and some of which have only been published on 3rd August, one day before the Deadline 6 closing date. This is not acceptable and is yet another example of timescales on this project being so close together that there is insufficient time for the Applicant or interested parties to submit, read and digest the very lengthy reports that are required for this project.

The Virtual Site Inspection documents do not give a true visual picture of the site and the impact that this development will have on the surrounding areas, residents and users of the land.

The images give a "fisheye" view of the area and this totally distorts the true landscape and therefore should not be accepted as a submission.

In my opinion this shows the unprofessional approach of the Applicant in providing distorted rather than true visuals.

The ExA MUST see the site for himself and take note of visuals that have been submitted by other interested parties and that show the true landscape and views.

I should also like to ask the Applicant to confirm at which temperature the solar panels can safely function. In many instances the solar panels have NOT been designed or tested for external temperatures over 30C. As we have recently been experiencing temperatures in excess of 35C on more than one day can the Applicant confirm that the solar panels they will be using will be capable and safe operating at temperatures in excess of 30C which we will no doubt be seeing in the future.

There are already instances of solar panels catching fire and everyone is aware of the terrible effects of wildfires currently affecting many countries including the UK